

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1-20 Alckarderia, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,014	12/10/2003	John W. Mates	42P17885	7517	
8791	8791 7590 07/11/2006			EXAMINER	
	SOKOLOFF TAYLO IIRE BOULEVARD	TREAT, WILLIAM M			
SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2181		

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/733,014	MATES, JOHN W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William M. Treat	2181				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 De	ecember 2003					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-21 and 34-42</u> is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
o) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 2004</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Bureau	•	su in the National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ed.				
	or and dominious dopied filet redenie	•				
Attachment(s)	C					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/24/2006</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/733,014

Art Unit: 2181

1. Claims 1-42 are presented for examination.

2. The drawings are objected to because Figs. 7A and 7B have not been properly labeled as Prior Art. They depict nothing but configurations of prior art Intel systems. See Fig. 1 of Huff et al. (Patent No. 6,052,769) and Figs. 1 and 2 and their related description at col. 3, line 56 through col. 6, line 12 of Watson et al. (Patent No. 6,466,226). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 2

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,014

Art Unit: 2181

4. Claims 1-12 and 22-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

- 5. Independent claims 1 and 22 claim: "a processor including a decoder to implement a first and second flow synonym for a first instruction, said decoder to associate one of said first and second flow synonym with said first instruction, and a scheduler to schedule said one of said first and second flow synonym for execution". Paragraphs 14-17 of applicant's specification and the dependent claims of claims 1 and 2 make clear applicant is somehow trying to make the recited language cover the situation where the instruction is decoded into one flow synonym or two flow synonyms, is decoded into two flow synonyms and only one flow synonym is scheduled, and is decoded into two flow synonyms and two flow synonyms are scheduled. The language of claims 1 and 22 would require, at the very least, a lot of ORs in its construction as opposed to applicant's AND constructs to make the language of the independent claims at all clear. Applicant has attempted to describe 3 mutually exclusive situations with the same language, and therefore, the independent claims lack any clarity.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1-12 and 22-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in

Application/Control Number: 10/733,014

Art Unit: 2181

the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicants are claiming 3 mutually exclusive actions (see paragraph 5, *supra*). For example, one cannot simultaneously schedule only one control flow and schedule two control flows for a given instruction.

- 8. Should applicant resolve his severe 112, 2nd and 112,1st paragraph problems so as to make clear what enabled invention applicant is claiming then his claims 1-12 and 22-33 may be subject to an art rejection.
- 9. Claims 13-21 and 34-42 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Hartnett et al. (Patent No. 6,654,875).
- 12. Nanja et al. (Application US 2005/0055677 A1).
- 13. Adusumilli (Patent No. 6,438,700).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to William M.
 Treat at telephone number (571) 272-4175.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM M. TREAT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4